Name:

Matrix Number:

1. Solve the time independent Schroedinger equation

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\Psi(x,t)
$$

for an infinite quantum well of the form

$$
V(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -a/2 \le x \le a/2\\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

where initial profile of the wavefunction is

$$
\Psi(x,0) = A(x - a/2)(x + a/2).
$$

2. Create a table to compare the essential commonalities and differences between the TISE solutions of an infinite quantum well and that in a harmonic potential.

Solution for 61
\nTo find solution
$$
f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} C_x \psi_n(x) e^{iE_x t/\hbar}
$$

\nNext for $\psi_n(x) = 0$ $\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} C_x \psi_n(x) e^{iE_x t/\hbar}$
\n $F(rs),$ $solve \psi_n(\psi_n(x), u)(s)) = \frac{1}{2} E_x \psi_n$
\n $\frac{-\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d\mu} + \psi(x) \psi_n(x) = E_x \psi_n$
\n $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d\mu} = E_x \psi_n$
\n $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d\mu} = -k^2 \psi_n$
\nor $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d\mu} = -k^2 \psi_n$
\n $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d$

Sol¹⁶
$$
f_r
$$
 θ I (cont.)
\n
$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathcal{L}_{n}(x) & = & \begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x
$$

$$
E_{n} = \frac{n^{2}\bar{q}^{2}h^{2}}{2ma^{2}} , hu = \frac{n\bar{q}}{a} ; hu = 1,2,3,4
$$

Solution:
$$
3x \times 1
$$
 (a) 2
\nNow, $3x \times 2$
\n 6 (b) $3x \times 1$
\n $8x \times 1 = 5$
\n $8x \times 1 = 2$
\n $9(x, 0) = 5x \times 4$
\n $9(x, 0) = 5(x \times 4)$
\n $16(x, 0) = 1$
\n 16

$$
Solants - \{t^{2} \text{ or } (col.x)\}\nA^{2}\int_{-\frac{9}{2}}^{\frac{9}{2}} (x^{2} - \frac{a^{2}}{4})^{2} dx = 1
$$
\n
$$
A^{2}\int_{-\frac{9}{2}}^{\frac{9}{2}} (x^{4} - \frac{a^{2}x^{2}}{2} + \frac{a^{4}}{16}) dx = A^{2}\left[\frac{x^{5}}{5} - \frac{a^{2}x^{3}}{6} + \frac{a^{4}}{16}x\right]_{-\frac{9}{2}}^{\frac{19}{2}}
$$

 $\begin{picture}(20,20) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \$

$$
=4^{2}\sqrt{\frac{7a^{5}}{5.32}+\frac{a^{2}a^{3}}{6.8}}=2.2^{4}/2
$$

 $\Rightarrow A = \sqrt{\frac{30}{25}}$

$$
=-7^{2}\left(-\frac{a^{5}}{5\cdot 3^{2}}+\frac{a^{3}}{6}\cdot \frac{a^{3}}{8}+\frac{a^{4}}{16}\cdot \left(\frac{-a}{2}\right)-\frac{a^{5}}{5\cdot 3^{2}}+\frac{a^{3}a^{3}}{6\cdot 8}-\frac{a^{4}}{16}\cdot \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right)
$$

$$
= -A^{2} \left[-\frac{a^{5}}{80} + \frac{a^{5}}{24} - \frac{a^{5}}{16} \right] = A^{2} a^{5} \left[+ \frac{1}{30} \right] = \frac{A^{2} a^{5}}{30} = 0
$$

Solution
$$
f(x, 0)
$$
 $\theta(x, 0)$ $dx = \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta_{m(x)} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \theta_{m(x)} \cdot dx$
\n $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \int_{\alpha_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \theta_{m(x)} \theta_{m(x)} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \delta_{n,n} = C_{m}$
\n $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \int_{\alpha_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \theta_{m(x)} \theta_{m(x)} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \delta_{n,n} = C_{m}$
\n \therefore C_m = $\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{k}} \theta_{m(x)} \theta_{m(x)} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{n} c_{n} \delta_{n,n} = C_{m}$
\n $\therefore \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta_{m(x)} \theta_{m(x)} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{n} \int_{\alpha_{n}}^{\infty} \theta_{m(x)} \cdot dx$
\n $m \text{ odd}, C_{m \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_{n}}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_{n$

Suggested solutions to Q2: Infinite Quantum Well (IQW) vs. Harmonic Potential Well (HPW)

Similarity

- 1. Energy are quantised
- 2. Solutions are divided into odd and even parts
- 3. Total solutions are made up of the linear superposition of stationary solutions
- 4. The solutions vanish at $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$
- 5. The solutions are normalised
- 6. The stationary solutions are orthonormal
- 7. The stationary solutions are complete.
- 8. Allowed energies are positive
- 9. Infinite energy levels are allowed.

10 ...

Dissimilarity

1. stationary solutions in harmonic well display tunnelling effect

2. Forms of stationary solutions are different: for IQW, they are made up of sinusoidal functions; for HPW, it is made up of Hermit polynomials.

3. The allowed energies are different in form.

4. Lowest energy level in HPW is n=0; whereas it is n=1 for IQW.

5. ...